COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE AMOUNT OF CO2 EMISSION OF BUILDING MATERIALS BETWEEN REINFORCED CONCRETE AND STEEL STRUCTURE BUILDINGS USING THE INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS

Chang-U CHAE Ph.D.¹ Kang Hee LEE Ph.D.² Sung Cheol JUNG Ph.D.³

- ¹ Building Research Division, Korea Institute of Construction Technology, 2311 Daewhadong Ilsanggu
Coyangshi Gyeonggido, 411-712, Republic of Korea, cuchae@kict.re.kr
- a Goyangshi Gyeonggido, 411-712, Republic of Rorea, cuchae@kict.re.kr
2 Department of Architecture, Andong National University, Songchundong Andongshi Gyeongsangbukdo,
2 760-749, Republic of Korea, leekh@andong.ac.kr
- Building Works Division, Daewoo Engineering & Construction Co., Ltd., 541 Namdaemunro 5 ga Joonggu Seoul, 100-714, Republic of Korea, tech@dwconst.co.kr

Keywords: input-output analysis, embodied energy, $CO₂$ emission, energy consumption, sustainable technology, public building

Summary

During the life cycle of a building, it consumes energy and resources as well as emits carbon dioxide. This paper shows the amount of the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of building materials in a construction stage. The 2 types of 4 public buildings were selected for the analysis, which building's structural types are a reinforced concrete and steel skeleton structure. The Input-output analysis is applicated in this research and the results show that energy consumption unit of steel structure are 1,126Mcal/m₂ and 1,283Mcal/m₂. It is higher than the unit of reinforced concrete structure which are 848.8Mcal/ m_2 and 809.7Mcal/ m_2 . These values resulted from the differences of required building materials according to the building structure. The results of $CO₂$ emissions shows similar tendency to the energy consumption. In the steel structure, the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of shaped steel and cold strip iron are higher than any other building materials. And the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of steel bar and ready mixed concrete are higher than any other building materials in the reinforced concrete building. COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE AMOUNT OF COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE AMOUNT OF C

Comparibation ENERGACED CONCRETE AN

Chang-Host Case Comparison (SING THE INPUT-OU

Chang-Host Case Comparison (SING THE INTERFORCED)

Comparison (S

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Backgrounds and Objectives

Various researches for resource-saving and environment-friendly products have been conducted by every private and public sector in Korea. In the building industry, it has been required to develop sustainable technologies for planning skills, construction methods, building products over the building life cycle. These technologies are becoming to be focused on reduce un-renewable resources and fossil energy consumption to minimize the environmental loads from buildings when using goods during the stages of production, use and disposal. To estimate the reduction of the energy consumption and CO_2 emission of a building in detail, it is needed to calculate them before construction. In this case, the Input-output Analysis could be used to evaluate the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of building materials and products.

In this paper, the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of building materials were assessed by the Inputoutput Analysis focused on the construction stage of a public building. The result of this study could be used as a basic data to analyze the properties of building materials in $CO₂$ emission by types of buildings.

1.2 Methodology

The Input-output analysis was applied to assess the resource and energy consumption, and $CO₂$ emission of a public building during a construction stage. The Input-output Table explains the inter-industry linkages among various industries(cf. a building product industry to an energy industry). The methodology to estimate the amount of the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of a public building is as follows;

First, a procedure to estimate the amount was suggested in terms of input-output flows. In this paper, the amount of energy consumption means the embodied energy of building products. Second, a coefficient ratio was prepared based on the Input-output Table released by the Bank of Korea. The industry sectors were classified into 31 sectors and the rest, and the 31 sectors have a relationship with the building industry directly and indirectly. Third, the final products required by the target buildings were collected and the

quantity of the products was calculated based on the estimation reports of the buildings. The estimation of the amount of the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission was performed by types of construction and building materials. Fourth, the result was suggested using unit by area. The unit of energy consumption is Mcal**/**m² and $CO₂$ emission kg-C/m.

1.3 Scope and Contents

The public buildings in this paper are post office buildings constructed in 1999 by the Korean Government. A total of 4 buildings were analyzed to compare the differences of the environmental load by a structural system. Two buildings were constructed with a reinforced concrete structural system and the others were by a steel skeleton system.

Table 1 shows that the buildings have a similarity in size and areas, and it means it could show the tendency of $CO₂$ emission by building types. On a construction stage, $CO₂$ is generated during a production of material, transportation and site works. The stage includes building works, civil works, electricity and facility works, but this study is focused upon the building works. The SS1 building has 19 building works, while the rest including SS2, RC1&2 has 17 works. The analysis also includes emissions from major building materials such as cement, ready-mixed concrete, etc.

2. Input-output Analysis

2.1 Characteristics of the I-O Analysis

The assessment method on the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission is classified by data-collecting ways. The most accurate way is to collect data on site, but it usually takes time and labor. Another way is to use pre-collected database with a field survey, but it sometimes needs to heavy survey works and pre-collected database doesn't always supply all the data needed. To compromise this weakness the Input-output Analysis is applied to estimate the amount of the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of goods from a product level to an industry level. Generally, the analysis analyzes the interdependent relationship between industries of building products, material production and energy over the life-cycle of a building. It is also an effective method for assessing the direct and indirect effects against the environment so that it could diminish the limitations of the field-survey method.

The analysis, however, has also some limitations as; 1. The Input-output Table consists of desegregated sectors and the number of sector depends upon the varieties of industries in one country. The Korean I-O table has more than 400 sectors but it is insufficient for assessing a specific product or material. 2. When using the I-O Analysis, the result reflects the average detail of a sector, not the detail of a product itself. 3. the amount of the energy consumed by each own industry is excluded in the analysis. In spite of the weakness of the analysis, assessing the interdependent relationship between the sectors becomes the very effective method to quantify the resources and energy directly and indirectly, required for the life-cycle stage of construction, maintenance and demolition in the building industry.

2.2 Procedure of the I-O Analysis

In Korea, the Input-output Table has been published every 5 years by the Bank of Korea and the table reflects the price when it is established. The final demands could be extracted by the price of building materials using the analysis, and then the amount of energy consumption is estimated from the demands. The procedure used in this paper is as follows;

 $1st$ step : to survey the characteristics of a target building

- 2^{nd} step : to classify the industry sector of the building products and materials
- 3rd step : to estimate the final demand by construction processes, building products and materials
- $4th$ step : to estimate the input amount against the final demand by each sector

 $5th$ step : to estimate the input amount of energy industry sectors

 $6th$ step : to estimate the energy consumption unit

 $7th$ step : to estimate the carbon dioxide unit

2.3 Previous Studies

Lots of researches on $CO₂$ emission of a building have been conducted by various researchers such as Kanji Sakai(1994), Tomonari Yashiro(1994) and J. N. Counaughton(1987), etc. In previous studies, the energy consumption and $CO₂$ emission of a building were heavy in structural and finishing works than the other works. By building types, the reinforced concrete building showed the mostly heavy emission and then steel skeleton building, masonry and wood building. The major material contribute a heavy emission were concrete, cement and steel.

3. Comparative Analysis on CO₂ Emission

3.1 By Building Works

Every building material is required by each related building work. For instance of a concrete work, readymixed concrete and reinforcing bars are needed with steel shoring. To analyze the characteristic of emission by building works, the quantity of building products which are related to building works should be analyzed for estimating the input by industries. Using the input it is able to calculate the amount of energy consumed in the building and then estimate the amount of emission. The amount of emission could be displayed as a unit with dividing the amount by the building areas, shown in Fig 1.

Figure 1 CO₂ emission by building works

In Fig 1, the main works which contribute to $CO₂$ emission are a reinforced concrete work, a steel work and a windows and doors work, etc. Among the results, the steel building emits CO₂ approximately 2 kg-C/m² more than the reinforced concrete building in the structural works as the concrete work and steel skeleton work. In a total, the reinforced concrete building emits $CO₂$ less than the steel skeleton building, but such important factors affecting the environmental loads as recycling and durability were not considered in this paper. The average of the total emission of $CO₂$ from the reinforced concrete building is 72 kg-C/m², and the steel skeleton building 103 kg-C/m². This result means that the steel skeleton building emits CO₂ by 40% more than the concrete building. This result, however, should be limited to these building projects because the four buildings were not designed in consideration of an optimum material application.

Work	SS ₁	SS ₂	RC ₁	RC ₂	Work	SS ₁	SS ₂	SS ₃	RC ₂
Temp. work	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	Decoration	13.6	14.6	3.3	3.4
Soil and footing	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	Exterior work	10.4	16.0	0.2	0.3
Reinforced concrete	13.4	12.9	24.1	22.4	Extra work	1.1	1.2	6.4	2.7
Steel beam assem.	13.1	13.3	1.1	1.3	Facility work	3.0	4.8	0.2	0.3
Waterproof work	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.4	Sewerage work	0.2	0.3	3.9	1.7
Stone and tile	11.0	13.8	20.3	24.8	Fence installation	1.0	2.1	0.7	0.7
Steel work	2.5	2.5	0.1	0.1	Gate installation	1.9	0.9	0.1	0.1
Cement pasting	0.1	0.1	3.1	2.9	Sewage installation	0.3			
Windows and doors	18.7	21.7	0	0	Demolition	0.2	$\overline{}$		
Painting	2.3	2.9	8.0	7.8	Total	97.6	109.8	73.8	70.3

Table 2. The amount of CO₂ emission by building works (kg-C/m²)

3.2 By Building Products

The method to analyze $CO₂$ emission of building materials and products is similar to the method for building works. In this paper, the amount of $CO₂$ emission of a product is analyzed by the building area, not by the material weight. In Table 3, the shaped iron and cold rolled iron have higher $CO₂$ emission property than the other materials in the steel skeleton building, while the ready mixed concrete has higher emission property in the reinforced concrete building. Reviewing the results, the cold iron shows 17.7kg-C/m² and the shaped iron 9.5kg-C/m² in the SS1 building. The ready-mixed concrete in the SS2 shows 11.3kg-C/m² and it is similar to the value of the RC2 building which is 12.6kg-C/m². This means that concrete was applied much on slabs in the steel skeleton building and there needs some prefabricated slab for the steel skeleton buildings.

Table 3. The amount of CO₂ emission by building materials (kg-C/m²)

Material	SS ₁	SS ₂	RC ₁	RC ₂	Material	SS ₁	SS ₂	RC ₁	RC ₂
Stone	9.06	12.0	31.7	38.7	Sand & Gravel	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Crushed stone	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	Sawed wood	0.1	0.2	Ω	0
Plywood	3.3	6.0	\blacksquare	\blacksquare	Wood products	1.1	1.1	3.7	3.5
Wood furniture	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	Paper products	0	\blacksquare	0.1	0.1
Paint	5.4	5.3	2.0	1.6	Adhesive material	1.2	1.4	0.7	0.7
Plastics	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	Industrial plastic	0.7	0.8	6.1	2.2
Industrial glass	5.3	5.8	3.0	3.2	Cement	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.4
Ready-mixed conc.	10.9	11.3	15.9	12.6	Conc. products	0.9	2.0	4.1	2.4
Clay products	1.6	1.4	0.2	0.2	Gypsum plaster	4.4	5.4	0.3	0.3
Asbestos & rockwool	5.0	5.1	۰	\blacksquare	Hot iron	2.1	1.1	3.8	3.9
Steel bar	3.7	4.6	8.8	9.5	Shaped iron	9.5	9.7	$\,$	
Cold iron	17.7	21.0	4.5	2.9	Steel pipe	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
Aluminum	6.5	7.0	6.1	6.2	Construction steel	1.8	2.3	0	Ω

4. Conclusion

The results show that the steel skeleton building emits more $CO₂$ than the reinforced concrete building. It consumes energy and emits $CO₂$ about 40% higher than the other building, when not considering recycling and reuse of resources in the analysis. In the analysis for building materials, the ready-mixed concrete is the mainly contributed material to the environmental impacts among all types of buildings. In detail, the shaped and rolled irons emit the largest amount of $CO₂$ in the steel skeleton buildings and the ready-mixed concrete and steel bar in the reinforced concrete building. This paper shows that the characteristic of $CO₂$ emission in the construction stage is varied by building types.

In analyzing the environmental impacts, this paper is focused on the construction stage among the whole life cycle. However, it is required to analyze the other stages to understand the whole environmental properties of a building. Hence, further studies are needed in consideration with a proper scenario of a building including service life, durability, facilities, and so on.

References

Lee, K.H., Chae, C.U. 2000, An estimation method of life cycle energy and $CO₂$ of a building, The 3rd International Symposium on Architectural Interchanges in Asia, AIK, JIK and ASC, pp. 539-549.

Yashiro, T., Yamahata N. 1994, Energy-use and carbon dioxide emission in housing construction, Building and Environment Proceedings of the First International Conference, Session Material, pp. 1-8